Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTL Wisconsid Coolons Assocration 2601 CROSSABADS DR #185 SENATE HEARING SLIP (Street Address or Route Number) BRANDON SCHOLZ only; Neither for nor against:| Medizon 5 37/8 (Please Print Plainly) 1 23/57 Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South SB 360 Madison, WI 53707-7882 but not speaking: but not speaking: Speaking for information Registering in Favor: Registering Against: (City and Zip Code) Speaking in Favor: Speaking Against: P.O.Box 7882 (Representing) BILL NO. SUBJECT (NAME) DATE: Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. NOCH (SOUNTESSYCH) SENATE HEARING SLIP Wanter Morrer (Street Address or Route Number) 329 W. WI (SDI (Please Print Plainly) only; Neither for nor against: JMOKHIRA MI Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South 22/25 Madison, WI 53707-7882 097 BC Speaking for information but not speaking: but not speaking: Registering in Favor: Registering Against: Speaking in Favor: (City and Zip Code) Speaking Against: P.O.Box 7882 (Representing) BILL NO. SUBJECT DATE:__

N-KASESS

CONV. STORE ASSN/PETROLEUM

Speaking in Favor:

(Representing)

Speaking Against:

MADISON, WI SZIIR

(City and Zip Code)

BUCKNEY ST, STF 212

BOB BARTLET

NAME)

Street Address br Route Number)

COMPLANCE

SUBJECT JOBACLO

SENATE HEARING SLIP

(Please Print Plainly)

SB SB

BILL NO.

1 23 02

DATE:_

SB 360

33

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.

Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South

Madison, WI 53707-7882

only; Neither for nor against:

Speaking for information

but not speaking:

Registering Against:

but not speaking:

Registering in Favor:

SLIP
RING
HEA
IATE
E

(Please Print Plainly)

1			
123/02	360		
DATE:	BILL NO.	or	SUBJECT

	1. Sot	
لم	Jr Bly	ute Number)
tavsbee	MLK	Route N
7	210 /	dress or
John	(NAME)	Street Address or Route N

(Street Address or Koute Number)	Madrson 53703	City and Zip Code)	Madison Public Health
5	_	Ü	

tes (#		X
isa Publict	enting)	peaking in Favor:
Mad	(Representin	Speaki

legistering in Favor:	but <u>not</u> speaking:

Speaking Against:

gistering Against:	but not speaking:

Speaking for information	only; Neither for nor against:

e return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.	Senate Sergeant-At-Arms	State Capitol – B35 South	P.O.Box 7882
Please retu	S	35	P.

Madison, WI 53707-7882

SENATE HEARING SLIP

(Please Print Plainly)

,) \	
23	Ø	
3, 02	360	

Radloth	wilsn St
Sarz	(NAME) (\mathcal{U}

(Street Address or Route Number)

53743	
to,	5
1 nadison	City and Zip Code)

\ 	$ \sqrt{} $	_	
	Speaking in Favor:		Speaking Against:

Registering in Favor:	but not speaking:

Speaking for information	only; Neither for nor against:

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.
Senate Sergeant-At-Arms
State Capitol - B35 South
P.O.Box 7882
Madison, WI 53707-7882

SENATE HEARING SLIP

(Please Print Plainly)

1/23/02	SB 360	
DATE	BILL NO	SUBJECT_

Nelson)an St.
Gary	NAME)	1 W. W.!

(Street Address or Route Number)

City and Zip Code)
Dept. of Halth + family Service

Service	
Halth + Family	<i>(</i> 81)
Dept. of	(Representing

	gainst:	
	V)
	peaking)
	Spea	1

Speaking in Favor:

L	
Registering in Favor:	but <u>not</u> speaking:

L	
Registering Against:	but not speaking:

Speaking for information	only; Neither for nor against:

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.
Senate Sergeant-At-Arms
State Capitol - B35 South
P.O.Box 7882
Madison, WI 53707-7882

Speaking in Favor: Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. DATE: 23 January 02 20 south, anth SENATE HEARING SLIP (Street Address or Route Number) only; Neither for nor against: (Please Print Plainly) Ser that Bush Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South Madison, WI 53707-7882 but not speaking: but not speaking: BILL NO. SB360 Speaking for information Registering in Favor: Registering Against: (City and Zip Code) Speaking in Favor: Speaking Against: P.O.Box 7882 (Representing) SUBJECT Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. CATHORNOGE DISK. SENATE HEARING SLIP Tobacco limpluand (NAME) WORDE, LOPESSIE, (Street Address or Route Number) The Committee of the Co only; Neither for nor against: (Please Print Plainly) Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South Madison, WI 53707-7882 SUBJECT 36 360 but not speaking: Speaking for information 0989 but not speaking: SYNAR Registering in Favor: Registering Against: Speaking in Favor: (City and Zip Code) Speaking Against: P.O.Box 7882 (Representing)

SENATE HEARING SLIP

(Please Print Plainly)

DATE: 1/33/05 BILL NO.-SUBJECT

BILL NO. –

2201 Fish Hatchery Rd Madison WH 53713 Street Address or Route Number) Soft Kidor City and Zip Code) NAME)

Restaurant Assn (Representing)

Speaking Against:

but not speaking: Registering in Favor:

but not speaking: Registering Against:

only; Neither for nor against: Speaking for information

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South

Madison, WI 53707-7882 P.O.Box 7882

SENATE HEARING SLIP (Please Print Plainly)

	Sit on AODA.	mber)	54806 JAKONOLISM					st:
DATE: $\sqrt{-33-02}$ BILL NO. 56360 Or SUBJECT	RANDY SPANGIC Oncert ASTUAND AREACOUNCIL ON ADDA (NAME)	206-61 Aut. West (Street Address or Route Number)	WISC. Code) A COUNCILO	(Representing) Speaking in Favor:	Speaking Against:	Registering in Favor: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Registering Against: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.

Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol – B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882 January 18, 2002

Senator Judy Robson's Office Attn: David Austin P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing in support Senate Bill 360. This bill puts enforcement provisions on underage access to tobacco products back into the "municipalities". I also support the "penny a pack" provision added to a pack of cigarettes, as this will solve the SYNAR Tobacco Amendment enforcement issues. Passage of this bill will take away the burden of taking the intoxicated drivers supplemental fund to solve the SYNAR Enforcement Issue. It will help the intoxicated driver seek AODA treatment services per statue and not create a highway safety issue.

Sincerely,

Name leggi hramolis	
Address 64508 Gillis Poad	
Ashland, WI. Stra	0

vinition and the accidence flaking demonstrates or year applications you solve the SMMR Enforcement Issue. If you nelp the Intoxical ad given seek ADDA treeument services penaleting and not preate a Migray safety issue.



THE HUMAN SERVICE CENTER

(HUMAN SERVICES BOARD 51.42/51.437)
P. O.BOX 897
705 E. TIMBER DRIVE • RHINELANDER, WI 54501-0897
TELEPHONE: 715-369-2215
FAX: 715-369-2214

January 21, 2002

Senator Judith Robson P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

Re: SB360

Dear Senator Robson:

I am writing to offer my strong support for SB360. This bill puts back the essential provisions of enforcement prohibiting underage access to tobacco products. Returning the provisions of enforcement to communities is essential in our ongoing efforts to reduce youthful access to tobacco and the likelihood of embarking on a lifelong smoking career, resulting in ill health and an untold cost to society.

It is essential that along with the return of enforcement provisions, a funding mechanism is put in place to provide the resources for increased enforcement. While SB360 does not specifically recommend a funding mechanism, to my understanding, I would offer the recommendation that a penny a pack increase in the cigarette tax be pursued to fund increased enforcement of limiting underage access to tobacco products.

It is important to identify an appropriate funding source that is tied to the issues of tobacco use, the cost of the use of tobacco and enforcement. This is why I offer the recommendation of a penny a pack increase in the cigarette tax for this purpose.

Currently, the State of Wisconsin is being penalized through the Synar Amendment to the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Block Grant due to a failure in the enforcement of underage access to tobacco products. This penalty could result in the loss of \$10 million of Federal AODA Block Grant funds. The State Department of Health and Family Services is proposing to fund an alternative plan of \$3 million to be identified to be put toward increased enforcement. Unfortunately, the department's plan is to utilize Intoxicated Driver Program Supplemental Funding to come up with the \$3 million. To do so will result in serious damage to the delivery of treatment to persons convicted of drunk driving and assessed as needing treatment services. It would only make sense to develop funding from the source, i.e. cigarette tax.

I thank you for the opportunity to provide this Statement of Support for SB360, and for activities toward identifying an appropriate funding source for increased enforcement.

Sincerely,

Mach Mondel

Mark S. Strosahl Executive Director

MSS:lc



ASHLAND COUNTY

HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

301 ELLIS AVENUE • ASHLAND, WISCO NSIN 54806 ACHSD (715) 682-7004 • ACHD (715) 682-7028

Fax: 715-682-7924 • TTY: 715-682-7023 • E-mail: achsd@hsd.co.ashland.wi.us

FAX COVER SHEET

TO: Sen. July Robson	SENDER: JERRY ESALA
608-267-5171	NUMBER OF PAGES: 4
ATTN: David Austin	
RE: SB 360	

The documents accompanying this telecopy transmission may contain information which is confidential and/or privileged. This information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited, and may constitute invasion of the privacy or the intended recipient. If you have received this telecopy in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original document at no cost to you.

F:\Shareq\FORMS\fax sicct.o ;c

January 18, 2002

Senator Judy Robson's Office Attn: David Austin P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing in support Senate Bill 360. This bill puts enforcement provisions on underage access to tobacco products back into the "municipalities". I also support the "penny a pack" provision added to a pack of cicarettes, as this will solve the SYNAR Tobacco Amendment enforcement issues. Passage of this bill will take away the burden of taking the intoxicated drivers supplemental fund to solve the SYNAR Enforcement Issue. It will help the intoxicated driver seek AODA treatment services per statue and not create a highway safety issue.

Sincerely,

Name

Address 28990 :

te Huy 118

Ashland, wis

5480C

715-682-8064

January 18, 2002

Senator Judy Robson's Office Attn: David Austin P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing in support Senate Bill 360. This bill puts enforcement provisions on underage access to tobacco products back into the "municipalities". I also support the "penny a pack" provision added to a pack of cigarettes, as this will solve the SYNAR Tobacco Amendment enforcement issues. Passage of this bill will take away the burden of taking the intoxicated drivers supplemental fund to solve the SYNAR Enforcement Issue. It will help the intoxicated driver seek AODA treatment services per statue and not create a highway safety issue.

Sincerely,

Name_velM. Fanglog

Address_1114 6 Ave W

Ashland, w1 54806



ASHLAND COUN'TY HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

301 ELLIS AVENUE • ASHLAND, WISCONSIN 54806 • (715) 682-7004 Fax: 715-682-7924 • TTY: 715-682-7023 • E-mail: achsd@hsd.co.ashland.wi.us

Jane E. Snilsberg, Director

January 18, 2002

Senator Judy Robson's Office Attn: David Austin P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing in support of Senate Bill 360. This bill puts enforcement provisions of underage access to tobacco products back into the "municipalities". I also support the "penny a pack" provision added to a pack of cigarettes, as this will solve the SYNAR Tobacco Amendment enforcement issues. Passage of this bill will take away the burden of taking the Intoxicated Drivers Supplemental (IDP) fund to solve the SYNAR Enforcement Issue. It will help the intoxicated driver seek AODA treatment services per statue and not create a highway safety issue.

Sincerely,

Name Skung M. Sprentels
Address Box 154



ASHLAND COUN'TY HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

301 ELLIS AVENUE • ASHLAND, WISCONSIN 54806 • (715) 682-7004 Fax: 715-682-7924 • TTY: 715-682-7023 • E-mail: achsd@hsd.co.ashland.wi.us

Jane E. Snilsberg, Director

January 22, 2002

Senator Judy Robson's Office Attn: David Austin P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

Dear Senator Robson/Mr. Austin:

Lam writing in support of Senate Bill 360. This bill puts enforcement provisions of underage access to tobacco products back into the "municipalities". I also support the "penny a pack" provision added to a pack of cigarettes as this will resolve the SYNAR Tobacco Amendment enforcement issues. Passage of this bill will take away the burden of taking the Intoxicate Drivers Supplemental (IDP) fund to solve the SYNAR enforcement issue. It will help the intoxicated driver seek AODA treatment services per statute and not create a highway safety issue.

Sincerely,

Janelle M. Moe Social Worker II



Preserving the right to live and breathe tobacco free

TO:

Senator Judy Robson, Chair

Members, Committee on Human Services and Aging

FROM:

Maureen O'Brien, Deputy Director, SmokeFree Wisconsin

DATE:

January 23, 2002

RE:

support for SB 360,

Thank you for holding a hearing on SB 360.

33.7% of retailers sold tobacco products to minors in a recent compliance check. Unfettered access to tobacco products for minors is a major problem in Wisconsin. It undermines the multi-million dollar efforts to reduce teen smoking. And Wisconsin should be worried – we have one of the highest rates of teen smoking in the country at 36%.

Reducing youth access to tobacco products is an important component of a comprehensive statewide program to reduce youth smoking. In fact, according to the Centers for Disease Control, 69.4% of middle school current cigarette smokers were not asked to show proof of age when purchasing cigarettes.³ Even if they were asked to show proof of age, middle school smokers were successful 62.4% of the time.⁴

Each year, 19 million packs of cigarettes are sold to Wisconsin children.⁵ The sellers of tobacco products are not self-policing. In fact, the "We Card" program, a public relations stunt, seems to be designed to deflect governmental regulation. Phillip Morris has penalized only 16 out of over 400,000 retailers for selling to children.⁶ If the program were working, one would think that Wisconsin wouldn't be out of compliance with the Synar amendment. It's also hard to believe the tobacco industry would design a program to reduce youth access when 90% of their customers are addicted to nicotine before they turn 18.⁷

Department of Health and Family Services Data, 2000.

² 2000 Youth Tobacco Survey (YTS).

³ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *CDC Surveillance Summaries*, November 2, 2001. MMWR 2001;50 (No. SS-4).

⁴ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *CDC Surveillance Summaries*, November 2, 2001. MMWR 2001;50 (No. SS-4).

⁵ Estimated from DiFranza, J. & J. Librett, "State and Federal Revenues from Tobacco Consumed by Minors," *American Journal of Public Health (AJPH)* 89(7): 1106-1108 (July 1999) & Cummings, et al., "The Illegal Sale of Cigarettes to US Minors: Estimates by State," *AJPH* 84(2): 300-302 (February 1994), and Wisconsin's youth population and smoking rates.

⁶ Tobacco Institute Discussion Paper, January 29, 1991

⁷ National Household Surveys on Drug Abuse (1998), unpublished data. See, also, HHS, "Preventing Tobacco Use Among Young People: A Report of The Surgeon General" (1994).

If children are unable to find a reliable and convenient source for tobacco, they are much less likely to begin smoking. Studies have shown that enforcement efforts have resulted in a reduction in tobacco sales to minors by 20%. And other studies show that reduced sales to minors can reduce tobacco use of minors by over 50%.

This bill, SB 360 approaches the compliance check from the right angle, restoring local governments ability to check on sales to minors. We have state patrol visible on our interstates to reduce speeding. We have parking monitors ticket cars with expired meters. We enforce laws and the only way to do that with sales of tobacco to children is to conduct compliance checks, warn and fine the retailer. While the tobacco industry and their supporters will tell you that you should ticket children, it should in no way deflect the real problem: retailers break the law by selling to minors. And retailers, not the clerks need to be held responsible for selling to minors. After all, it's the retailers that hold the license to sell tobacco.

SmokeFree Wisconsin would like to see enforceable, reasonable laws. Wisconsin retailers, especially those who are good, law abiding citizens, shouldn't be burdened by the law, much like citizens who keep their meters plugged and those who drive the speed limit. However, those retailers who break the law ought to be held accountable and be appropriately punished. After all, it's the health and lives of our children at stake.

The Wisconsin Tobacco Control Board (WTCB) would be a reasonable body to work with local governments. However, that arrangement will only work if local governments have the ability to fully enforce the law with penalties, ability to restrict or withdraw licenses and ability to continue stings to determine lawbreakers.

SmokeFree Wisconsin is concerned about the Federal sanctions by Wisconsin being out of compliance. It's our understanding that the penalties require new revenue dedicated to enforcement. The key is not to take from one existing program to fund another.

Moving ahead with this legislation is important, as this is a critical component of a comprehensive statewide program to reduce tobacco use among teens. SmokeFree Wisconsin welcomes discussions with all impacted parties to come up with a reasonable and long-lasting solution so that our youth do not have access to tobacco products through retail establishments. By not having good enforcement of the law, Wisconsin not only has Federal sanctions to deal with, but also the long term burden of another generation of smokers which sap our health care system of much needed dollars which are wasted on our most preventable disease maker: tobacco use.

⁹ Jason, L.A.; et. al., "Active Enforcement of Cigarette Control Laws in the Prevention of Cigarette Sales to Minors," Journal of the American Medical Association 266; 22: 3159-3161 (December 11, 1991).

⁸ Rigotti N.A.; et. al., "The Effect of Enforcing Tobacco Sales Laws on Adolescents' Access to Tobacco and Smoking Behavior," New England Journal of Medicine 1997; 337: 1044-1051.



January 231, 2002 PROADS DRIVE - SUITE 185 - MADISON, WI 53718-7923 - 608/244-7150 - FAX 608/244-9030

Fax:608-244-9030

To:

Senator Robson

Members, Committee on Human Services

and Aging

From: Brandon Scholz

Subject:

Testimony SB-360

Thank you for the opportunity to testify this morning on SB-360.

Per the request of the Chair, attached is a copy of my remarks.



Fax:608-244-9030

January 23, 2002

Testimony of Brandon Scholz, President, Wisconsin Grocers Association on SB-360 before the Senate Committee on Human Services and Aging

Chairwoman Robson, members of the committee, I'm Brandon Scholz, President of the Wisconsin Grocers Association.

We are here to day to oppose SB-360 - as introduced in its current form.

As some of you know, there was an effort to improve this bill by making a number of key changes that we felt would be helpful in the effort to reduce youth access to tobacco. These efforts were broken off and have not moved forward.

Before I address those issues, let me first say that today, as the law is written, I do not believe any municipality or community is prevented from conducting compliance checks. One only has to look at the City of Madison to see that they are doing compliance checks within the language of the statues. Other communities across the state are conducting checks as well.

There are some communities who claim that the current law prevents them from doing tobacco stings. That is simply not the case. All they have to do is get a price of paper from the Dept of Health and Family Services and they are in business. To date, no one has yet to produce a legal analysis citing the statutes that prohibits them from conducting compliance checks.

So, even without this bill, municipalities in Wisconsin can conduct compliance checks even without the state funding they received in prior years.

Now, if we are series about cutting youth access from tobacco, we need to put in place a number of measures that will continue to put the pressure on retailers to not sell tobacco to minors, but also get to the core of the problem.

In our meeting organized by Senator Roessler to try and improve this bill, we proposed adding the following to add teeth to the law:

- Fine clerks at least \$100 who sell tobacco to minors and maintain the fines imposed on the license holder.
- Fine minors at least \$100 who poses or buy tobacco.
- Establish a statewide in-store training program for clerks to reinforce the prohibitions on selling tobacco to minors.
- Require compliance checks to follow the letter of the law.

P.03

Page Two Scholz Testimony - SB360

It is ironic that the state of Wisconsin takes a less aggressive approach to keeping tobacco out of the hands of minors, yet we go to greater lengths to prevent them from buying a six-pack of beer. We have schools that informally sanction teenage smoking on school grounds because the neighbors complain about tobacco butts in the yard. Kids can't play sports if they get caught drinking, but we don't hear of the same enforcement when it comes to tobacco.

We have proposed fining minors for purchase or possession of tobacco. Yet some claim that this would clog up the local municipal courts and administrators and therefore they oppose it. But, at the same time, they fully support citations for underage drinking. There is an unfortunate disconnect here that must be addressed.

It is my hope that we can find a way to remedy these issues. Thank you for your time this morning.

Briefing PaperFederal Synar Regulation

1) Background/Description of Issue/Program

Federal Mandate: Federal Synar Amendment (section 1926 of the Public Health Service Act of 1992) and administrative regulation (45 CFR Part 96, Tobacco Regulation for Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grants; released in January 1996).

Responsible Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Family Services / Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).

Description of the Mandate and Problem: The Synar Regulation requires states to implement strategies to prevent the illegal sale of tobacco products to minors at retail establishments. States must conduct an annual compliance check survey to gauge success in meeting established target goals for a reduction in such sales. Failure to meet target "inspection failure rates" (rate at which merchants sell to minors) or to enforce the statute restricting tobacco sales to minors (s. 134.66, Wis. Stats.) results in significant penalties to the state by reduction of as much as 40 percent of the annual Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant. For Wisconsin, this means a potential penalty of approximately \$9.92 million (of an annual Block Grant allocation of \$24.8 million). Loss of funds that support critical services to substance abuse prevention and treatment is counter-productive. In addition, the Synar requirements are an unfunded mandate. Associated costs for program implementation must be taken from the current Block Grant allocation, which means reduced funding in other areas.

- Since implementation of the Synar Regulation in 1996, Wisconsin has been in compliance with all requirements set forth by SAMHSA.
- We have reported our survey results to SAMHSA each year in our Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant application.
- Reported Inspection Failure Rates and established targets are:

<u>Target</u>	Reported IFR
Baseline	47.7%*
35%	22.6%
30%	27.8%
25%	22.0%
23%	24.6%
22%	33.7%**
20%	
	Baseline 35% 30% 25% 23% 22%

Non-scientific estimate.

^{**} The 2001 result puts Wisconsin out of compliance by 11.7%.

Briefing PaperFederal Synar Regulation

NOTE: Estimates from 1997-2001 are given with a 95% CI (\pm 3%).

2. Issue/Problem

Results and Implications of the 2001 Synar Tobacco Sales Compliance Survey

- A sample of 850 licensed retailers were selected at random. A total of 555 observations (cases) were recorded, with 187 successful cigarette purchases by minors.
- Inspection Failure Rate (IFR) = 187/555 = 33.7%
- This result represents a 9.1% increase over the year 2000 IFR of 24.6%

Implications

Wisconsin's target IFR for this year is 22%. We have missed that target by 11.7%. This result puts the State out of compliance with the requirements of the Synar Regulation. We should expect the strong probability of a financial penalty to our Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant allocation.

Penalties

The Synar Regulation calls for a 40 percent penalty to the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) Block Grant allocation for a State found in violation of the requirements of the Regulation. For Wisconsin, this would mean a penalty of approximately \$9.92 million.

However, SAMHSA has been utilizing an "alternative penalty" formula to assess penalties for States found out of compliance. In a letter to the Governor of Michigan, SAMHSA spells out the policy:

"Section 214 of the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 106-554) provides that funds appropriated by the Act my not be used to withhold substance abuse funding from a State pursuant to section 1926 (Synar Amendment) if that "State certifies to the Secretary of Health and Human Services by March 1, 20__ that the State will commit additional State funds...to ensure compliance with State laws prohibiting the sale of tobacco products to individuals under 18 years of age."

In effect, this allows a State to avoid a potential 40 percent reduction in its SAPT Block Grant award by certifying the following:

Briefing Paper

Federal Synar Regulation

- That the State will commit additional funds equal to one percent of the State's SAPT Block Grant award for each percentage point by which the State has missed its established retailer noncompliance target rate.
- That the State will maintain State expenditures for tobacco prevention and compliance activities at a level that is not less than the level of such expenditures maintained by the State in the current year, and adding to that level the additional funds required under section 214."

For Wisconsin, this will mean an 11.7% penalty (or approximately \$2.925 million). This will be an "either/or" proposition...Wisconsin will either commit the additional funds or be penalized the full 40 percent (\$9.92 million) of the Block Grant.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE Thursday, Jan. 18, 1996



THE FACT IS....

The SAMHSA regulation maintains the objective of reducing the sale of tobacco products to minors, while providing latitude and support for State implementation.

IMPLEMENTING THE SAMHSA TOBACCO REGULATION FOR THE SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT BLOCK GRANT

Today, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) submitted for publication the final regulation implementing section 1926 of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act prohibiting the sale or distribution of tobacco products to minors.

After considering the comments received from the health community, State agencies, and tobacco product manufacturers and retailers, the Secretary finalized the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) regulation as one key step in a strategic Administration-wide approach to reducing youth tobacco use.

KEY REQUIREMENTS OF THE REGULATION

The SAMHSA regulation implementing the Synar Amendment requires the State¹ to:

- Have in effect a law prohibiting any manufacturer, retailer or distributer of tobacco products from selling or distributing such products to any individual under the age of 18.
- Enforce such laws in a manner that can reasonably be expected to reduce the extent to which tobacco products are available to individuals under the age of 18.
- Conduct annual random, unannounced inspections to ensure compliance with the law. These inspections are to be conducted in such a way as to provide a valid sample of outlets accessible to youth.
- Develop a strategy and timeframe for achieving an inspection failure rate of less than 20% of outlets accessible to youth.

¹The term "State" refers to each of the 50 States, District of Columbia and U.S. Jurisdictions.

• Submit an annual report detailing the State's activities to enforce their law, the overall success the State has achieved during the previous fiscal year (FY) in reducing tobacco availability to youth, describing how inspections were conducted and the methods to identify outlets, and plans for enforcing the law in the coming fiscal year.

The Secretary is required by statute to withhold all funds from States that have not enacted the required prohibitions and to decrease the annual Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) Block Grant award for States that do not comply with the enforcement and reporting requirements.

State Statute

States were required in their first applicable fiscal year², and all subsequent fiscal years, to have in place a law prohibiting the sale or distribution of tobacco products to minors.

All States were in compliance with this requirement for FY 1995. The Department will continue to review revisions to States' laws on an annual basis.

Enforcement

The Department will allow States the needed flexibility in determining which strategies are most appropriate for meeting the compliance standards and enforcement requirements of the regulation. States may implement tobacco licensing of retailers and penalties/fines. They are, however, not required to do so.

The Department requires that the State designate an agency within the State to be responsible for implementing the State law. Enforcement of the law may be done by enforcement agencies, Single State Agencies for Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SSAs), State Health Departments, private entities or a combination of these and other organizations.

Inspections

For the first two applicable fiscal years, States must conduct a reasonable number of random, unannounced inspections of outlets (both over-the-counter and vending machines) to ensure compliance with the law.

²The first applicable fiscal year refers to the first fiscal year for which the State was required to have a statute making it unlawful to sell or distribute tobacco products to minors. For most States, the first applicable fiscal year was FY 1994. For those States whose legislatures did not convene in either 1993 or 1994 of which there are seven, the first applicable fiscal year was FY 1995.

For the third applicable and all subsequent fiscal years, States will conduct random, unannounced inspections of outlets that represent a probability sample of outlets accessible to youth. The sample must reflect the distribution of the population under age 18 throughout the State and the distribution of outlets throughout the State. Table I depicts the inspection requirements.

The regulation does not require the use of "stings," (i.e., use of minors in inspections). This method has been found to be effective and efficient. States are free to use other methods for performing inspections. However, the Department strongly urges any State that intends to use an alternative method to work with the Department in advance of implementation to show that the method validly measures compliance through random, unannounced inspections, and ensures that the inspection approach will produce the data necessary to determine that the State meets the compliance standard.

The State will not be required to conduct targeted inspections of outlets that failed under previously random, unannounced inspections. However, targeted inspections are an appropriate method of controlling youth access to tobacco products and may be considered by the Secretary in making a determination if a State is found to be in substantial compliance with the inspection failure rate.

TABLE I: Timing of Inspection Requirements

States whose legislature met in 1993 and 1994:

States whose legislature did not meet in both 1993 and 1994:

Year for Implementing Requirement	REQUIREMENT	Year for Implementing Requirement
1994	Conduct reasonable number of RUI's *	1995
1995	Conduct reasonable number of RUI's	1996
1996 and all subsequent fiscal years	Conduct RUI's using probability sample	1997 and all subsequent fiscal years

* - Random, unannounced inspections

Sampling Design

The design of the sampling method scheduled for the third and subsequent fiscal years requires a method for providing a probability sample of outlets accessible to youth. The sample must reflect the distribution of the population under age 18 throughout the State and the distribution of outlets that are accessible to youth throughout the State. In identifying outlets that are accessible to youth, States may want to take into consideration characteristics such as their proximity to gathering places for youth. For additional information on Sample Design, see Section VII, of the CDC Stop The Sale: Prevent The Addiction, A Program Guide for Reducing Youth Access (1995).

States with complete centralized license lists can use these lists as a sampling frame. Other States can utilize commercial business lists that can be purchased from a variety of sources. These lists may not reflect the total universe of tobacco outlets and, therefore, may need to be supplemented. For additional information on Compliance Checks, see Section VI of the CDC program guide.

Developing Compliance Strategy

States must present to the Secretary as part of their fourth applicable fiscal year application, interim performance targets for achieving in the future a maximum inspection failure rate of 20%. As a baseline the State should use the results of the random, unannounced inspections conducted during the previous fiscal year. Although a target date for all States achieving the 20% rate has not been set, each State will be expected to submit and negotiate a reasonable strategy for achieving this goal over several years.

Reporting Schedule

States in their first applicable fiscal year application for funds were required to: 1) submit a copy of the State law regarding the sale or distribution of tobacco products to minors; and 2) explain what activities they would undertake during their second applicable fiscal year to enforce the law.

In their second and third applicable fiscal year applications, States were or are required to submit the following: 1) a copy of any changes made to their tobacco law; 2) a summary of their enforcement efforts during the previous fiscal year; 3) the results of the random unannounced inspections conducted during the previous fiscal year; and 4) a summary of the success that the State has had in reducing the availability of tobacco products to minors.

For the fourth and all subsequent fiscal year applications, States must submit the following: 1) a copy of any changes made to their tobacco law; 2) a summary of their enforcement efforts during the previous fiscal year; 3) the results of the random unannounced inspections conducted during the previous fiscal year; 4) a summary of the success that the State has had in reducing the availability of tobacco products to minors; 5) a description of how they conducted their random, unannounced inspections including a description of their sampling design; and 6) their strategy, timelines and yearly milestones for achieving in the future an inspection failure rate of 20 percent.

Costs and Financing of Compliance

The primary costs of complying with this regulation involve expenses for inspection and enforcement. While no data are available regarding the cost of enforcement, the Department does not believe these costs need to be substantial. The cost of statewide inspections would vary by State. Costs will decrease as compliance reaches 100%, because there will be fewer violations and fewer enforcement actions necessary. State costs would also decrease if the FDA proposed regulations take effect, since the FDA tobacco rules would make it easier for States to enforce State youth access laws. In addition, SAMHSA has modified the regulation to allow use of SAPT Block Grant prevention setaside funds to pay for the costs associated with developing a sampling mechanism and conducting random, announced, inspections. States may not use SAPT Block Grant funds for other enforcement activities.

States may use funds from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant for sample design, inspections and other enforcement purposes.

States may also implement a system of self-financing licensure, and civil penalty system as a method of offsetting the costs of retailer inspections.

Penalties for Non-Compliance

In order to be eligible for SAPT Block Grant funds, all States must have a law prohibiting the sale or distribution of tobacco products to minors.

If the Secretary determines that a State did not comply with the enforcement stipulated in this regulation, the statute (42 USC 300X-26(c)) requires the Secretary to reduce by 10 percent that State's SAPT Block Grant allotment for non-compliance in their first applicable fiscal, 20 percent for noncompliance in their second applicable fiscal year, 30 percent for noncompliance in their third applicable year and 40 percent for non compliance in their fourth and all subsequent fiscal years. For most States FY 1997 is their fourth applicable fiscal year.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

Detailed information on prevention strategies for youth access to tobacco products can be found in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's, Stop The Sale: Prevent The Addiction" Program Guide for Reducing Youth Access to Tobacco (1995).

[Federal Register Citation]

For more information regarding this regulation, please refer to "Tobacco Regulation for Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant -- Final Rule" published by the Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

Prakash L. Grover, Ph.D.

Acting Director, Division of State Prevention Systems

Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP)

Substance Abuse & Mental Health Services Administration

Rockwall II Building, 10th Floor

5600 Fishers Lane

Rockville, MD 20857

(301) 443-7942

CY 2002 Substance Abuse Block Grant County and Statewide Program Specific Reduction Estimates

	•	2002		•			
County	SA	BG Budget		40%Cut		Bala	nce
Adams	\$	34,248	\$	13,699	\$	-	20,549
Ashland	\$	28,276		11,310			16,966
Barron	\$	79,713		31,885	\$		47,828
Bayfield	\$	35,262		14,105	\$		21,157
Brown	\$	465,279		186,112	\$		279,167
Buffalo	\$	23,204		9,282	\$		13,922
Burnett	\$	28,760		11,504	\$		17,256
Calumet	\$ \$	46,328		18,531	\$		27,797
Chippewa	\$	96,341	\$	38,536	\$		57,805
Clark	\$	55,026		22,010	\$		33,016
Columbia	\$	77,128		30,851	\$	•	46,277
Crawford	\$	32,086		12,834	\$		19,252
Dane	\$	2,618,206		1,047,282	\$		1,570,924
Dodge	\$	111,966		44,786	\$		67,180
Door	\$	46,219		18,488	\$		27,731
Douglas	\$	110,750		44,300	\$		66,450
Dunn	\$	107,714		43,086	\$		64,628
Eau Claire	\$	519,446	-	207,778	\$	•	311,668
Florence	\$	8,512		3,405	Ψ \$		
Fond du Lac	\$	182,892		73,157		•	5,107 109,735
Forest/Oneida/Vilas	\$	325,754	\$	130,302	\$		195,452
Grant & Iowa	\$	107,759	\$	43,104	\$		64,655
Green	\$	45,365	\$	18,146	\$		27,219
Green Lake	**********	32,340	\$	12,936	\$		19,404
Iron	\$	7,985	\$	3,194	\$		4,791
Jackson	\$	39,385	\$	15,754	\$		23,631
Jefferson	\$	109,299	\$	43,720	\$		65,579
Juneau	\$	42,890	\$	17,156	. Ψ . \$		25,734
Kenosha	\$.	715,331	\$	286,132	\$		429,199
Kewaunee	\$	26,797	\$	10,719	\$		16,078
La Crosse	\$	270,793	\$	108,317	\$		162,476
La Fayette	\$	22,055	\$	8,822	\$		13,233
Lincoln/Langlade/Marathon	\$	303,162	\$	121,265	\$		181,897
Manitowoc	\$	140,547	\$	56,219	\$		84,328
Marinette	\$	75,173	\$	30,069	\$		45,104
Marquette	\$	23,939	\$	9,576	\$		14,363
Menominee	\$	41,427	\$	16,571	\$	*	24,856
Milwaukee	\$	5,823,075	\$	2,329,230	\$		3,493,845
Monroe	\$	71,115	\$	28,446	\$		
Oconto	\$	48,966	\$	19,586	\$		42,669
Outagamie	\$ \$	311,259	\$	124,504	φ \$		29,380
Ozaukee	\$	85,354	\$	34,142	\$		186,755 51,212
Pepin	¢	11,569	\$				
Pierce	\$ \$	51,163	⊅ \$	4,628	\$	•	6,941
Polk	\$	68,628		20,465	\$		30,698
Portage	Ψ: ¢	240,341	\$ •	27,451	\$		41,177
Price	\$ \$ \$	19,379	\$ •	96,136	\$		144,205
Racine	æ		\$	7,752	\$		11,627
Richland	⊅ \$	695,171	\$	278,068	\$		417,103
· iviluiu	Ψ	32,819	\$	13,128	\$		19,691

CY 2002 Substance Abuse Block Grant County and Statewide Program Specific Reduction Estimates

Rock	\$	835,033	æ	004.040	- 🛦	
Rusk	\$	30,407		334,013	•	,
St. Croix	\$	70,176	•	12,163	•	
Sauk			-	28,070	•	,
Sawyer	φ.	82,089 50,066	•	32,836		,
Shawano	\$ \$ \$ \$			20,026		,
Sheboygan	ው	73,720		29,488		
Taylor	ው ው	178,215	-	71,286	-	,
Trempealeau	\$	31,092	\$	12,437	\$	
Vernon	\$	43,091	\$	17,236	-	
Walworth		44,268	\$	17,707	\$	
Washburn	\$	243,911	\$	97,564	\$,
Washington	\$	27,842	\$	11,137	\$	16,705
Waukesha	\$	131,927	\$	52,771	\$	79,156
Waupaca	\$	649,473	\$	259,789	\$	389,684
Waushara	\$	80,798	\$	32,319	\$	48,479
	\$	37,207	\$	14,883	\$	22,324
Winnebago	\$	269,227	\$	107,691	\$	161,536
Wood	\$	128,562	\$	51,425	\$	77,137
County Subtotal	\$	17,503,300	\$	7,001,320	\$	10,501,980
Statewide Programs		•				
Tribes	\$	467,674	\$	187,070	\$	280,604
Dept. of Corrections	\$	1,649,200	\$	659,680	\$	989,520
WI Cert. Board	\$	121,500	\$	48,600	\$	72,900
UW Board of Regents	\$	157,900	\$	63,160	\$	94,740
Brighter Futures Initiative	\$	1,575,000	\$	630,000	\$	945,000
Administration	\$	148,267	\$	59,307	\$	88,960
Operations	\$	986,233	\$	394,493	\$	591,740
DOH HIV Life Care Services	\$	74,000	\$	29,600	\$	44,400
DOH HIV Prevention	\$	75,000	\$	30,000	\$	45,000
Rural/Urban Women's Projects	\$	1,776,850	\$	710,740	\$	1,066,110
Grand Total	\$	24,534,924	\$	9,813,970	\$	14,720,954
				-,- : -,-	•	1 1,1 20,004

^{1) 45} percent (or \$1,575,000) of Brighter Futures dollars are from the Substance Abuse Block Grant. The funds go to 9 counties and all tribes.

²⁾ The statewide rural/urban womens allocation for 2002 is \$2,167,900 of which \$1,776,850 is Block Grant. The remainder of \$391,050 is from DAPIS program revenue.

2001 IDP Supplemental Funding Request Award

		4.244.21				858 86							¢ 70 617.05	00.710.97		6 786 44	1,000		• 2	•	
		છ	•			U .	→						¥	€		U	>				
	Unclean HSRS	*3rd Ptv. Coll.	•	Cost-Rev. Bal.		*3rd Ptv. Coll.			Unclean HSBS	Unclean HSBS			*3rd Dtv Coll	Unclean HSBS	Cleaned HSRS	*3rd Ptv Coll	Inclean HSBS	Unclean Hope	Unologn Honor	Olicieali nono	
2001 Award	\$	\$ 3,300	\$ 20,411	\$ 5,200	\$ 346,000	\$ 6.531	\$ 7,000	\$ 53,548	· ·	· ·	\$ 25.308	\$ 5270) } •		\$ 143.890	€	, + (· +	\$ 19.973	\$ 636,431
	<u>,</u>	4	_	_		01	_	~			~		~		_					, o.	
Requested <u>Funding</u>	60,191	8,814	20,411	70,000	346,000	8,162	2,000	53,548	239,300	68.087	25.308	5.270	89.898	15,189	143,890	160,047	19.112	80,904	25,25 25,286	19.973	1,466,390
	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	S	↔	ø	မ	69	· 69	G	49	6	4	↔	₩.	€.	· U	↔ ↔	4
2000 Revenue Collected	22,699.00	29,270.00	37,972.00	94,146.00	194,257.00	5,949.00	64,097.00	47,401.00	352,031.00	177,033.00	39,312.00	11,758.00	561,317.00	70,904.00	49,886.00	117,320.00	25,365,00	345,887.00	223 791 00	66,739.00	2,537,134.00
	↔	₩	↔	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	₩	₩	↔	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	↔	B	€9	₩	₩
Application Program Cost 2001	81,576	36,814	64,575	88,642	515,000	15,552	75,000	102,964	533,400	187,222	59,588	14,058	659,422	65,117	198,245	191,020	48,771	449,798	263.237	95,000	3,745,001
•	()	₩.	ഗ	↔	₩	↔	₩	₩	↔	↔	↔	⇔	₩	₩	↔	₩	₩	↔	₩	₩	8
State Projected Program Cost <u>2001</u>	46,847	36,491	84,133	50,486	788,665	11,079	90,723	195,992	188,592	153,041	43,677	18,732		142,409	121,555	140,578	16,508	1,030,543	643,424	119,148	3,922,623
•	?} €	→	• →	5) (↔ ↔	•	₩ .	φ.	()	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	₩.	↔	↔	↔	↔	8	₩
7 1 1 4 4	Columna	Calumet	Clark	D00r	rorest/Oneida/Vilas	11011	Juneau	Kewaunee :	Lincoln/Langlade/Mara	Marinette	Marquette	Menominee	Milwaukee	Monroe	Pierce	Ponage	Price	Rock	Washington	Wood	
	ν α	o Ç	2 4	5 5	7	9 6	S S	- d	40.0	ဆို မ	gg (2 :	우 :	1 1	4,	ֆ լ	် ၁	23	99	7	
t o	Northeastern	Western	Northeastern	Northern	Northern	Southorn	Northonor	Northeastern	Northern	Northeastern	Northeastern	Northeastern	Southeastern	Western	Nestern	Northern	Northern	Southern	Southeastern	Northern	

*third party collections average 38% Recommend collection of at least 15%

Vote Record

Senate - Committee on Human Services and Aging

Date:	131/02				
Bill Number:	5B 360				
Moved by:	Rossler	Second	led by:	Robson	
Motion:			.ca by		
	pussinge les les	amended	1		
	V 🛆				
Committee Sen. Judith Ro		Aye	No	<u>Absent</u>	Not Voting
Sen. Gwendol					
Sen. Robert W					
Sen. David Ha					
Sen. Carol Ro					
Sen. Robert W					
Sen. Ted Kana	avas				
	Totals:				
,	. otalo.	***************************************		-	
	Motion Carried		Motion Fail	ed	

Vote Record

Senate - Committee on Human Services and Aging

Date: Moved by: AB: AJR:	31 62 Roess	er 360	Seconded by: Clearinghouse Appointment: Other:	-	Robsen	
AR: A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Sub Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: Be recommended for: Passage Introduction Adoption Rejection	SR: _RBs () 302	to A/S Amdt: ባል to A/S Sub Amdt: to A/S Amdt:		urrence	to A/S Sub Annt	ndt:
Committee Me Sen. Judith Ro Sen. Gwendol Sen. Robert W Sen. David Ha Sen. Carol Ro Sen. Robert W Sen. Ted Kana	obson, Chair ynne Moore /irch .nsen essler /elch		REPARTED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	No 	Absent	Not Voting
		Totals:			**************************************	

SB 360 - substitute amendment LRBs 0302/11/20

Motion Carried	Motion Failed

JIRECTORS

Penny J. Siewert Charman

Robert J. Berdan Chairman Elect

David G. Murphy Vice Chairman

Nancy K. Korom, R.N., M.S.N. Secretary

Bon C.M. Bastianen Treasurer

John E. Stevenson, M.D. Past Chairman

David R. Anderson

Richard J. Canter

Michael F. Felhofer

Marilya D. Frenn, Ph.D., R.N.

Jeffrey Glassroth, M.D.

George P. Hinton

Nizar N. Jarjour, M.D.

Gerald J. Kuhn

Kesavan Kutty, M.D.

Todd A. Mahr, M.D.

Jeffrey J. Remsik

Greg W. Renz

JoAnn P. Schedler, B.S.N., R.N.

Ricardo J. Soto, Ph.D.

Bud J. Tremi

Anselmo Villacreal

Pamela A, Wilson, M.D.

PRESIDENT & CEO

Margaret MacLood Brahm

SENIOR COUNCIL

Frederick M. Beimore

John P. Gwin

Wallace H. Jeromo

E. H. Jorris, M.D.

Ann B. McNeer

Charles E. Reevs

David L. Vollmar

James M. Wilkie, M.D.

When You Can't Breathe, Nothing Else Matters®

150 S. Sunny Slope Road Suite 105 Brookfield, WI 53005-4857 Phone: (262) 782-7833 (800) LUNG-USA / (586-4872) Fax: (262) 782-7834 amlung@lungwisconsin.org www.lungusa.org AMERICAN
LUNG
ASSOCIATION

of Wisconsin

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

*******	***************************************
TO:	Senator Judy Patron
COMPANY:	- v
FAX NO:	608-267-5171
DATE:	1/29/02
FROM:	Dona wininsky
This fax contains	page(s), including the cover sheet.
*******	*********************
<u>COMMENTS</u> :	
COMMENTS:	

If you do not receive all pages, call (262) 782-7833 or within Wisconsin (800) 586-4872.

DIRECTORS

Penny J. Siewert

Robert J. Berdan Chairman Elect

David G. Murphy Vice Chairman

Nancy K. Korom, R.N., M.S.N. Secretary

Ben C.M. Bustianen Treasurer

John E. Stevenson, M.D. Past Chairman

David R. Anderson

Richard J. Canter Michael F. Felhofer

Marilyn D. Frenn, Ph.D., R.N.

Jeffrey Glassroth, M.D.

George P. Hinton

Nizar N. Jarjour, M.D.

Gerald J. Kuhn

Kesavan Kutty, M.D.

Todd A. Mahr, M.D.

Jeffrey J. Remsik

Greg W. Ronz

JoAnn P. Schedler, B.S.N., R.N.

Ricardo J. Soto, Ph.D.

Bud J. Trem!

Anselmo Villarreal

Pamela A. Wilson, M.D.

PRESIDENT & CEO

Margaret MacLeod Brahm

SENIOR COUNCIL.

Frederick M. Belmorc

John P. Gwin

Wallace H. Jerome

F. H. Jorris, M.D.

Ann B. McNeer

Charles E. Reevs

David L. Vollmar

James M. Wilkie, M.D.

When You Can't Breathe, Nothing Else Matters®

150 S. Sunny Slope Road Suite 105 Brookfield, WI 53005-4857 Phone: (262) 782-7833 (800) LUNG-USA / (586-4872) Fax: (262) 782-7834 amlung@lungwisconsin.org www.lungusa.org



January 28, 2002

To: The Honorable Senators

> Judy Robson, Chair Gwendolyn Moore

Robert Wirch Dave Hansen

Peggy Rosenzweig Carol Roessler

Robert Welch, Senate Committee on Human Services and

Aging

From: Dona Wininsky, Public Policy Director

Re:

SB360

I am writing to express the full support of the American Lung Association of Wisconsin for SB 360. Regrettably, other commitments prevented me from attending your recent hearing on this bill, so please let this letter serve as testimony from the Lung Association.

We support local communities' right to conduct retail tobacco compliance checks because they are an extremely effective, cost efficient means of limiting underage tobacco purchases. Until 1999, several Wisconsin communities had been routinely conducting such operations and demonstrated compliance rates significantly higher than in communities where no such program existed. When the programs shut down, due to a change in state law, those gains were quickly lost.

To cite just one example, the city of Madison has seen illegal purchases increase from low of 18 percent in 1999, back up to 31 percent by the end of 2001.

The state's most recent effort to conduct the checks through DHFS has been. for the most part, largely ineffective, with Madison reporting a 28 percent "sell rate" under the state program.

What's more, the state is losing millions of dollars of federal aid by being out of compliance with the federal Synar laws. In these tight budget times, we can't afford to write off up to \$10 million.

Finally, the fiscal estimate supplied to your committee indicates that this legislation carries no costs on either the state or local level. In short, we believe there is absolutely no reasonable argument for not supporting SB 360 and many reasons to recommend it.

We've all heard the old saying, "If it ain't broke, don't fix it." Unfortunately, someone saw fit in 1999 to "fix" a highly successful tobacco prevention program. Since then, youth smoking and purchase rates have risen and Wisconsin is being penalized financially.

Please go back and undo the "fix" by giving back local communities the authority to conduct their own tobacco compliance checks. Please support SB 360.